UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MC ALLEN DIVISION

MC ALLEN GRACE BRETHREN CHURCH, ET AL.,) CASE NO: 7:07-CV-0060)
Plaintiffs,) CIVIL
vs.) McAllen, Texas
ALBERTO GONZALEZ, ET AL.,) Friday, December 21, 2012
Defendants.) (4:51 p.m. to 5:10 p.m.)

MOTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICARDO H. HINOJOSA, CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: MILO COLTON, ESQ.

Civil Rights Legal Defense

Ed Fund, Inc. 519 Culebra Rd.

San Antonio, TX 78201

For Defendants: JIMMY A. RODRIGUEZ, ESQ.

1000 Louisiana, Suite 2300

Houston, TX 77002

Court Recorder: Antonio Tijerina

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

(361) 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

had the Eleventh Circuit join in in a shorter opinion on the

24

25

same issue?

1 MR. COLTON: On the Wilgus case, the individual that 2 had the feathers was a non-Indian.

THE COURT: But it had exactly the same arguments, whether the tribe needed to be registered. It's almost like it goes through every single argument that we have in this case; the first one being, does the Government have a compelling interest in protecting eagles?

MR. COLTON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The Court said yes, the Government has a compelling interest in protecting eagles and explained why that was the case, which is the argument that is being made here by the Government.

Then the next was the Government had a compelling interest in protecting a religion of federally recognized Indian tribes, and these interests were served in the least restrictive means by providing access to federally recognized Indian tribes.

It does then go, does the Government have a compelling interest? And then it then puts it and says, yes, the Government does with regards to the bald eagles.

Then it goes into the second question, does the Government have a compelling interest with regards to Native American culture and religion? And it answers that by saying yes, but the only way it can be done here is by limiting it to the registered tribes that are registered with the federal

```
4
 1
    government. Then it explains why it comes to that conclusion,
 2
    as to that's why the Eagle Act is written that -- has been read
 3
    that way.
              And then, is it using the least restrictive method to
 4
 5
    proceed here? And it's pretty much like it's this case; don't
 6
    you agree?
 7
              MR. COLTON: Well, it is very much alike our case.
    There are some differences though, your Honor.
 8
 9
              The concern of the Government in protecting the
10
    eagles -- we're not posing any threat to these eagles. We're
11
    merely seeking permission to use feathers that are shed.
12
    most cases, they're shed annually through a process called
13
    molting.
              The feathers are a renewable resource.
14
              THE COURT: And what's your response to that,
15
    Mr. Rodriquez, that these are feathers that are out there
16
    laying, and nobody has killed an eagle nor harmed an eagle with
17
    regards to these feathers?
18
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, first of all, your Honor, to be
19
    clear that the law and regulations that we are defending here
20
    today, that I'm defending here today, are part of a broad
21
    problematic scheme, so it's not that I don't want this
22
    particular Plaintiff to have an eagle feather; it's that we're
23
    trying to protect eagles on a broader scope.
24
              THE COURT: Right, but --
25
              MR. RODRIGUEZ:
                              And it --
```

The molting argument was not

MR. RODRIGUEZ:

1 | addressed in the Tenth Circuit decision; no, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does that mean then I should have a

hearing with evidence presented as to why this would be a

problem with regards to -- isn't that a fact issue then as to

Yeah.

MR. RODRIGUEZ:

what the --

THE COURT: -- interest of the Government is with regards -- obviously, the interest of the Government is to protect the bald eagle. They may argue, well -- well, in fact I don't even hear him arguing that the Government doesn't have that right.

What he's saying is, frankly, this doesn't harm the bald eagle in any way whatsoever because these feathers have fallen off and nobody has killed any or harmed any bald eagle, and they're just laying on the ground. And that's how these have been gathered.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Your Honor, this is a challenge, of course, to an administrative action, the denial of their request for the return of their feathers. They were full reviewed under the APA standards. We filed an administrative record supporting the decision at issue in this case. In that record, there are -- there is evidence directly addressing the molting argument. We cite that in our brief. There is no need to have a hearing.

As your Honor knows, under the Administrative

```
1
    Procedure Act, the case was resolved in the administrative
 2
             There is no allowance for de novo fact finding, so the
    question is whether or not our decision with regard to molting,
 3
    or any other issue that is fact intensive --
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Well, I guess I could send it back --
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- is arbitrary and --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: -- and say you haven't really developed a
 8
    record?
 9
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Of course, your Honor, you could
10
    always remand it back; of course. But as I said, we do have
11
    record evidence in the record directly addressing this --
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- and it's cited in our brief.
13
14
              THE COURT: How many pages exactly is the record here
15
    with the rest of the administrative agencies hearings?
16
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: The record is very large, your Honor.
17
    I believe it's in excess of a couple of hundred pages. But we
18
    provide citations to the points, yes.
19
              THE COURT: Right, but it's probably helpful if the
20
    Court read the whole thing.
21
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's a very large record.
22
              THE COURT: But 200 pages isn't that long. I thought
23
    you were going to tell me thousands of pages.
24
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, no, I don't believe it's -- I
25
    have a copy of it in my briefcase.
```

- versus Hernandez case. I remember reading where Hispanics
 could be discriminated against because they were white. And in
 our case, our Indian people are being discriminated against.
- THE COURT: Well, this isn't a discrimination. I

 mean, you're claiming that this is a violation of the Religious

 Freedom Act.
- 7 MR. COLTON: And we use the case of the Indian with 8 the hair issue that came out of this circuit.
 - THE COURT: This circuit, yes.
- 10 MR. COLTON: Or out of this --

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- THE COURT: But in that one, the Government -- the school district wasn't able to show that there was an issue with regards to the harm with regards to the child and having -- what harm was that going to cause here as to -- the Government here is saying, of course we have a compelling interest with regards to protection of the eagle. If you let this happen, then there is some harm caused to this.
- And the school district in that case wasn't able to, in any way, come up with anything that would indicate that the fact that the child had long hair and didn't have it in a bun, or however they were requesting, would cause an issue here.
- 22 MR. COLTON: And we can't see the harm of our people 23 having these feathers.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Well, I think he's trying to explain this 25 by saying the harm is going to be, Judge, that it then becomes

very difficult if all these feathers are floating around;

pardon the description here. And we won't be able to tell

which are ones that actually fell off as opposed to those that

were actually gotten because somebody did some harm to the

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, your Honor.

eagles. I take it that's what you're saying?

THE COURT: That you don't deny that there may well be some that have fallen off and they're on the ground. But what you're saying is if we allow that, we will never be able to tell under the black market situation as to which actually fell and which were harmed -- caused to the eagle. And, therefore, that's why we have to have this particular rule.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Exactly, your Honor.

THE COURT: Which is very different from what the school could have come up with, or didn't come up with anything that would indicate that a child having long hair without putting it in a bun was causing any kind of problem.

MR. COLTON: But we could almost extend this to venison being served at a restaurant if you can't prove that the person who took that deer was licensed. If you want to get to the root of the problem, go after the poachers, not the people who have it. And the Government says it's a difficult task for them to perform, and so it's easier just to assume that all these feathers, where there's no record of how those feathers were --

```
11
1
              THE COURT: Were you the attorney at the
 2
    administrative level?
 3
              MR. COLTON: No.
 4
              THE COURT: Were you the attorney in the
 5
    administrative level?
 6
              MR. COLTON: No, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: They were represented by somebody else or
 8
    what?
 9
              MR. COLTON: It was a contact of Reverend Soto's; I
10
    believe, Mr. Cisneros. And we were not able to make any
11
    contact with that attorney.
12
              THE COURT: Mr. Cisneros. What's his first name?
13
              MR. COLTON: Arturo.
14
              REVEREND SOTO: Arturo Cisneros, I believe.
              THE COURT: One of the -- the young Arturo Cisneros?
15
16
              REVEREND SOTO: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Did you want to say something for
18
    your Motion?
19
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: I -- just very briefly, your Honor.
20
              I certainly wish that the Government could
21
    accommodate everyone's interests in this case; unfortunately,
22
    we cannot. As your Honor is aware, there are many competing --
23
              THE COURT: Well, he just offered one solution is
24
    that you could go after the poachers and determine who was
25
    actually doing something as opposed to the feathers that have
```

1 | fallen off.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, your Honor, I think the distinction here is, of course, the default rule here is that the eagles are protected, and that the possession of any eagle feather or part is prohibited by law. And then we've carved out certain limited exceptions to that.

THE COURT: And so what if it falls on your property?

Let's say I have a place, and an eagle has flown by and dropped a feather. I can't pick it up because you have a compelling interest to save the eagle, although the eagle will never come back and put that feather back on? What am I supposed to do with that? Just leave it out there for some -- for time to do away with it, although I'd like to admire the feather and respect it from my standpoint of I respect the bald eagle as a symbol of this country and, therefore, I found it here and I can just keep it. I don't cause any harm to anybody.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I understand what you're saying, your Honor, but under the law, you cannot pick it up and keep it and possess it, and you certainly couldn't put it in a bustle as Reverend Soto did and then use it and display it. I mean, I agree that there is a certain amount of --

THE COURT: So you're supposed to let it out there to be trampled and stepped on and everything else that happens when it's laying out there and for the cows to poop on it or whatever else may happen to it?

```
1
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Or leave it in nature, yes.
 2
    your Honor. Your Honor, I --
 3
              THE COURT: I quess that issue was never brought up
    in the Tenth Circuit case.
 4
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think -- well, with regard to
 5
 6
    the Tenth Circuit case, there are at least four Circuit Courts
 7
    of Appeals that have dealt with this issue. And it is the
    Tenth Circuit in Wilgus, and it is the Eleventh Circuit in the
 8
 9
    Gibson case. It is the Ninth Circuit in the Antoine case, and
10
    the Ninth Circuit in the Vasquez-Ramos case; the First Circuit
11
    in the Rupert case. In at least three of those cases, they
12
    involve persons of Native -- of American Indian ancestry, if
13
    not members of federally recognized tribes.
14
              I think if that argument is not dealt with explicitly
15
    in any of those decisions, I think it is implicit in their
16
    discussion of the Government's interest in suppressing a black
17
    market and understanding the difficulties that the Government
18
    has and --
19
              THE COURT: Well, the -- the Tenth Circuit, I didn't
20
    see anything about black market. Was it mentioned in there?
21
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: It -- I believe that it was, but I
22
    could be conflating it with another Court of Appeals decision.
23
    I'm confident that among the Courts of Appeals decisions that
24
    we cited that it is addressed at some point.
25
              Another important point raised by the Tenth Circuit
```

1 is that if it's expanded to -- if the exception is expanded to 2 persons who claim an ethnic ancestry with American Indians, 3 then law enforcement would be put in the unworkable position of having to judge on the spot whether or not someone is truly of 4 5 American Indian ancestry as they state, where now there is a 6 very clean rule for the law enforcement agencies to follow. Ιf 7 someone is a member of a federally recognized tribe and can prove it, then they are authorized to possess a feather or 8 part, but if not then law enforcement can assume that the -- or 10 understands that the feather is not authorized under the law to 11 be possessed. 12 **THE COURT:** So you almost think that the idea that 13 the tribe has to be recognized is almost more important than me 14 even going into the issue of the least restrictive aspect of 15 things? 16 In this case, that is the legal issue MR. RODRIGUEZ: 17 that creates the bar to Plaintiff invoking the exception to the 18 Eagle Act. Yes, your Honor, exactly. 19 And Plaintiffs' arguments set forth in their brief, I 20 believe, fly in the face of the existing case law. We've cited 21 many cases, as we've talked about today, in our brief and I 22 believe they show, as a matter of law, that the Department of 23 Interior's position is lawful. 24 But if your Honor wants to go beyond that and look at

```
1
              THE COURT: Well, I think that would be helpful just
 2
    to for me to get more of a flavor of exactly in what context
 3
    these arguments are being made.
 4
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, your Honor, it may be.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          I mean, obviously, I have read the
    Circuit cases of the Tenth and Eleventh Court -- Circuit
 6
 7
    Courts. I think the Plaintiffs probably know that they have a
    heavy burden with these cases, but the distinction he's trying
 8
    to make is well, these are feathers that were out on the ground
10
    and nobody caused any harm. But the question is, do we even
11
    get there if we have a tribe that is not registered with the
12
    federal government.
13
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, your Honor, I believe you don't.
14
              THE COURT: Albeit they're registered with the state
15
    government.
16
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's correct. And, your Honor, I
17
    don't want to waste --
18
              THE COURT: And Texas being a very big state.
19
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: It is, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: It's bigger than France.
21
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, your Honor. I don't want to
22
    waste the Court's time or belabor any points. I believe the
23
    issues are fully addressed in our briefing and, based on our
24
    briefing and everything we've said today, we would ask that the
25
    Court enter a summary judgment in our favor.
```

- 16 1 THE COURT: Did you want to add anything to your 2 briefing orally here? 3 MR. COLTON: No, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay, well it's obviously clear to you 5 all that I want to actually read the two hundred and some pages in the administrative record here. 6 7 I think it is going to be difficult from the standpoint of the Plaintiffs here with regards to the issue of 8 the cases as they line up here at the Circuit Court level, but 10 I do want to look at this one issue that was raised here by 11 you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Your Honor, if I may? 12 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just want to clarify, I have the 15 record here and it is larger than I stated. It's 648 pages, 16 although many of the Court decisions that we've talked about 17 today were reviewed by the agency and, therefore, included in 18 the administrative record, so the factual issues addressed in 19 the record are, I believe, much shorter than the 648 pages. 20 THE COURT: Of course, the conviction is not an issue 21 That has already been taken care of, right? 22 MR. COLTON: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: And it has been appealed and affirmed on 24 appeal?
 - Yes, your Honor.

MR. COLTON:

```
17
1
              THE COURT: Was there any further appeal from the
 2
    District Court on that issue?
 3
              MR. COLTON: No, your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: And the fine has been paid or what?
 5
              MR. COLTON: Yes, your Honor.
 6
         (Pause)
 7
              THE COURT: Okay, it's going to be February 21st at
 8
    4:00 o'clock. If you all don't have anything else, you all can
 9
    be excused. Thank you.
10
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
11
              MR. COLTON: Thank you, your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: You all can be excused. Thanks.
13
         (This proceeding was adjourned at 5:10 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

e 7:07-cv-00060 Document 46 Filed on 05/03/13 in TXSD Page 18 of 18	
CERTIFICATION	
I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the	
electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-	
entitled matter.	
Join / Judan April 30, 2013_	
TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER	